Building 4 Boskruin Village Office Park Cnr President Fouche & Hawken Road Bromhof, 2188

Tel: 087 170 0639

7 May 2021

budgetinputs@joburg.org.za

ratescomments@joburg.org.za

AngeliqueM@joburg.org.za

RE RECYCLING LEVY AS PER THE 2021/22 BUDGET AND TARIFFS

1. In its request for the approval of proposed waste management service tariff for 2021/22 MTEF,

the City of Johannesburg (COJ) plans to add an additional R50 "recycling levy" on top of the

monthly refuse removal bill if a resident's property is worth more than R350 000.

2. Section 4 (8) explains the following:

"Recycling entails separating waste at the source of generation/households (Separation at source

(S@S)) and Pikitup team collect recyclables at different time schedules. The City's waste

management bylaws made it mandatory for every households within the City of Johannesburg

jurisdiction to separate waste at their households and businesses. Pikitup will be rolling out full

programme for the collection of recyclables from households.

Affluent households at various suburbs excluding those located in areas classified as township

and informal settlements will be charged additional levy at an amount of R50 per month for all

properties with a market value above R350 000."

3. As we understand it, in terms of section 22 of the City's Waste Management By-laws (2013), "the

Council may prescribe by a notice published in the Provincial Gazette that, from a prescribed

date, areas, specific generators or holders of particular categories of waste must for the purpose

of recycling, separate those categories of waste and must, store, dispose of or treat the separated

waste in the manner prescribed in the notice".

4. Therefore, in line with this section of the waste management by-law, the City is implementing

mandatory separation at source of dry recyclables and garden waste in affected areas of the city

from the 1st July 2018.

5. We also understand that this means that from the effective date it will be compulsory for

residents in the affected areas who are currently receiving the recycling bag to place dry

recyclables (paper, plastics, metal and glass) for kerbside collection by Pikitup or its service

ORGANISATION UNDOING TAX ABUSE

providers for further processing. The type of bag that residents receive for this purpose is a "clear

colour" or a "blue colour" bag depending on the service provider that is delivering the service on

behalf of Pikitup.

6. While we believe this is an important development for a more sustainable future, residents

shouldn't be charged to do the work of separation and more explanation is needed what the R50

is for. Surely it cannot cost R50 for one blue plastic bag and one black one per week.

7. Recycling at source is no doubt a step in the right direction for all municipalities and metros,

however charging residents instead of incentivising them is not. In addition, The CoJ needs to

adopt a new strategy of managing its waste, in order to introduce international best practice to

capitalise on the business and revenue generation opportunities that come from waste.

8. Accordingly, OUTA believes the CoJ should not be introducing an additional "Recycling Levy" on

its already overstretched residents. Instead, the CoJ should be reducing the R397 "Waste

Management Service" charge by a reasonable amount (for example R50 off) for those residents

who participate in the 'recycling separation at source' initiatives introduced by the CoJ.

9. Furthermore, OUTA is also of the opinion that many informal recyclers, who have exercised

entrepreneurial initiatives and successfully collected recyclable waste for many years, should be

consulted and permanently employed or included into any plans emanating from the City's

"Recycling Strategy". It is extremely important to ensure that health and safety standards are

also met to protect reclaimers from harm and to formalise their roles in Waste Management.

10. We believe that central to this approach should be the fact that revenue generated by the

recycling programme should aim at covering the cost of operations and subsidise participating

residents by reducing their municipal "Waste Management Service" charges.

11. We hereby oppose the proposed increase, however, we are willing to engage with the City to

determine a better way forward as we do believe in the concept of recycling and OUTA is of the

view that there may be a mutual benefit between residents and the City, should a different

approach be taken to this initiative.

Kind regards,

Julius Kleynhans

Executive Manager: Public Governance Division