

"FAg"

**IN THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF TSHWANE CENTRAL  
HELD AT PRETORIA**

CASE NO: 38834/2017

In the matter between:

**SOUTH AFRICAN NATIONAL ROADS AGENCY LIMITED**

Plaintiff

And

[REDACTED]

Defendant

---

**DECLARATION**

---

- 1 The plaintiff is the South African National Roads Agency Limited ("SANRAL"). SANRAL is a public company, with a share capital, duly incorporated and registered in accordance with the laws of the Republic of South Africa, having its principal place of business at 48 Tambotie Avenue, Val de Grace, Pretoria.
- 2 SANRAL has standing to bring this action in terms of section 30(1) of The South African National Roads Agency Limited and National Roads Act 7 of 1998 ("SANRAL Act").
- 3 The defendant is [REDACTED] an adult female residing within the jurisdiction of the above Honourable Court at [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

and whose further particulars are to the plaintiff unknown.

4 On 28 March 2008, in Government Gazette 30912, SANRAL declared certain roads in Gauteng as toll roads.

5 The roads that were declared to be toll roads were the following -

5.1 sections 20 and 21 of the N1;

5.2 section 12 of the N3;

5.3 section 1 of the N4; and


5.4 sections 18 and 19 of the N12.

6 On 28 July 2008, in Government Gazette 31273, SANRAL declared further roads as toll roads.

7 The roads that were declared to be toll roads were the following -

7.1 section 1 of the R21; and

7.2 section 2 of the R21.

Handwritten signature and initials in the bottom right corner of the page.

- 8 All of these roads fall within the jurisdiction of this court and shall be referred to as the "GFIP toll roads".
- 9 In terms of section 59A(1) of the SANRAL Act, the owner of a vehicle is deemed to be the person who drove, operated or used a vehicle when a liability to pay toll was incurred.
- 10 In terms of section 27(1)(b) of the SANRAL Act, read together with the e-Road Regulations (published by the Minister of Transport on 9 October 2013 in Government Gazette Notice No. R. 793 in terms of sections 58(1)(dA) and (dC) of the SANRAL Act ("the e-Road Regulations")), the owner of a vehicle that passes under a gantry (defined as "a toll plaza where the liability to pay toll is recorded by an electrical or electronic device" in Regulation 1 of the e-Road Regulations) on the GFIP toll roads is liable to pay toll to SANRAL.
- 11 On 19 November 2013 -
- 11.1 SANRAL published "Conditions for payment of e-tolls" in terms of section 27(1)(b) of the SANRAL Act in GNR 888 in Government Gazette 37038 ("the 2013 Conditions of Toll"); and
- 11.2 the Head of the Department of Transport made known by publication in GNR 887 in Government Gazette 37038 that the Minister had determined, in terms of section 27(3)(a) of the SANRAL Act, the tolls to



be levied on *inter alia*, the GFIP toll roads, from 3 December 2013 ("the 2013 Toll Tariffs").

12 On 17 June 2015 -

12.1 SANRAL published new "Conditions for payment of e-tolls" in terms of section 27(1)(b) of the SANRAL Act in GN 525 in Government Gazette 38884 ("the 2015 Conditions of Toll"); and

12.2 the Head of Department made known in GN 524 in Government Gazette 38884 (as corrected by GN 579 in Government Gazette 38949, GN 645 in Government Gazette 39027 and GN 972 in Government Gazette 39298) that the Minister had determined, in terms of section 27(3)(a) of the SANRAL Act, the tolls to be levied on, *inter alia*, the GFIP toll roads, from 2 July 2015 ("the 2015 Toll Tariffs").

13 Over the period **01 June 2014 and 31 August 2015** and on the days and at the times reflected in the schedule attached hereto as "A", vehicles of which the defendant is the owner, passed under gantries, on the GFIP toll roads.

14 An e-toll transaction, as defined in Regulation 1 of the e-Road Regulations, was recorded each time that the defendant's vehicles passed under the gantries on the GFIP toll roads.



- 15 When the defendant's vehicles passed under the gantries on the GFIP toll roads during the period identified in schedule "A", she was an alternate user, as defined in the e-Road Regulations, because she was not a registered e-tag user, a registered VLN user, a day-pass user for the e-road used or a non-registered e-tag user (as those terms are defined in the e-Road Regulations).
- 16 From/On **10 February 2014** the defendant registered one (1) Vehicle License Number ( [REDACTED] ) with SANRAL. When this vehicle passed under gantries on the GFIP toll roads after 3 December 2013, the defendant became liable, as a registered alternate user in terms of paragraph 7.1 of the applicable Conditions of Toll as read with Regulation 3(2)(c) of the e-Road Regulations, to pay the alternate user toll tariff to SANRAL as a registered alternate user.
- 17 Over the period **05 June 2014 and 31 August 2015** a vehicle with VLN [REDACTED] which the defendant had failed to register with SANRAL as required by Regulation 3(2)(c) of the e-Road Regulations, passed under gantries on the GFIP toll roads. When this occurred, the defendant became liable, as an unregistered alternate user as contemplated in terms of paragraph 8.1 of the 2013 and 2015 Conditions of Toll to pay the alternate user toll tariff to SANRAL as an unregistered alternate user.

Handwritten signature and initials in the bottom right corner of the page.

- 18 The 2013 and 2015 Toll Tariffs prescribe the toll tariffs that must be paid by registered and unregistered alternate users for the various classes of vehicle during the period referred to in schedule "A".
- 19 The defendant did not pay the toll liability she incurred within the applicable grace period as defined in the 2013 and 2015 Conditions of Toll.
- 20 Over the periods **10 July 2014 to 26 July 2014, 28 July 2014 to 22 October 2014, 25 January 2015 to 31 March 2015 and 01 May 2015 to 20 October 2015** SANRAL sent invoices via post to the last known address of the defendant provided in terms of the National Road Traffic Act 93 of 1996 within the period contemplated in Regulation 6(5) of the e-Road Regulations.
- 21 During the following periods / on the following dates, as a result of circumstances beyond SANRAL's control, SANRAL was not able to send invoices via post to the defendant's last known address provided in terms of the National Road Traffic Act: **27 July 2014, 23 October 2014 to 24 January 2015, 01 April 2015 to 30 April 2015 and 21 October 2015.**
- 22 On various dates reflected in schedule "A", between **10 July 2014 to 12 July 2015** SANRAL sent nineteen (19) invoices via post to the last known address of the defendant provided in terms of the National Road Traffic Act 93 of 1996 after the period contemplated in Regulation 6(5) of the e-Road Regulations.



- 23 During the period **25 January 2015 to 20 October 2015** SANRAL again sent invoices to the defendant in respect of each of the corresponding e-toll transactions via post to the defendant's last known address provided in terms of the National Road Traffic Act. These invoices were sent together with statements reflecting the outstanding balance of unpaid e-tolls including for the period during which SANRAL could not send invoices via post.
- 24 The full outstanding amount of e-tolls as at 31 August 2015 was brought to the defendant's attention on or about **10 May 2016** when a sms was sent to her.
- 25 On or about **8 February 2016** the defendant orally informed SANRAL that she will not pay the outstanding debt.
- 26 Despite demand, the defendant has refused to pay the amounts reflected on the invoices and statements.
- 27 Schedule "A" reflects -
- 27.1 the date and time of each e-toll transaction recorded in respect of the defendant's vehicles;
- 27.2 the class of the defendant's vehicle/(s) that passed under each gantry and the VLN of the vehicle in question;



- 27.3 whether the defendant was a registered or unregistered alternate user in each instance;
- 27.4 the toll tariff applicable to that passage;
- 27.5 the date on which an invoice reflecting the defendant's toll liability was sent to the defendant's last known address; and
- 27.6 the date on which payment was due in terms of the invoice.
- 28 The e-toll liability incurred as set out in schedule "A" includes Value Added Tax payable in respect of the e-toll transactions.
- 29 Accordingly, the defendant is liable to SANRAL for the amount of each e-toll transaction reflected in schedule "A". The aggregate amount outstanding for those e-toll transactions is **R45 928,83**.
- 30 SANRAL accordingly claims from the defendant –
- 30.1 payment of the sum of **R45 928,83**;
- 30.2 interest on each amount making up the sum of **R45 928,83** at a rate determined, from time to time, in terms section 1 of the Prescribed Rate of Interest Act 55 of 1975 calculated from the day reflected in the





column headed "*Date on which liability for interest arises*" in schedule "A", to date of payment;

30.3 costs of suit; and

30.4 further and/or alternative relief.

DATED AT WESTVILLE THIS 21ST DAY OF JANUARY 2018.

CJ SCHUTZLER

---

**MORRIS FULLER WILLIAMS INC.  
PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS**

1 KNIGHTSBRIDGE  
16 WESTVILLE ROAD  
WESTVILLE

TEL: 031 – 267 7700

FAX: 031 – 266 5990

EMAIL: [REDACTED]


C/O: COUZYN HERTZOG & HORAK ATTORNEYS  
320 Middel Street  
Brooklyn  
Pretoria  
0011

(Ref: MS C J SCHUTZLER/CS/DEB3260)


**SERVICE BY ELECTRONIC MAILS AS AGREED BETWEEN THE PARTIES**

**TO: THE CLERK OF THE COURT  
PRETORIA**

**AND TO: ALET UYS ATTORNEYS  
397 Central Park Avenue  
Strubenkop Complex  
Lynnwood, Pretoria**



Email: [REDACTED]  
REF: SM0196

 M