## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA (GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA)

CASE NO: 15996/2017

In the matter between:

**DUDUZILE CYNTHIA MYENI** 

Applicant

and

ORGANISATION UNDOING TAX ABUSE NPC

First Respondent

SOUTH AFRICAN AIRWAYS PILOTS ASSOCIATION

Second Respondent

SOUTH AFRICAN AIRWAYS SOC LTD

Third Respondent

AIR CHEFS SOC LTD

PRIVATE BAG/PRIVAATSAK X67 PRETORIA 0001

REGISTRAN OF THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

MINISTER OF FINANCE

2020 -01- 27

GRIFFIER VAN DIE HOE HOF VAN SUID AFRIKA GAUTENG AFDELING, PERTORIA

Fourth Respondent

B. BHANA REGISTRAR Fifth Respondent

In re:

ORGANISATION UNDOING TAX ABUSE NPC

First Plaintiff

SOUTH AFRICAN AIRWAYS PILOTS ASSOCIATION

**Second Plaintiff** 

and

**DUDUZILE CYNTHIA MYENI** 

First Defendant

SOUTH AFRICAN AIRWAYS SOC LTD

Second Defendant

AIR CHEFS SOC LTD

Third Defendant

MINISTER OF FINANCE

**Fourth Defendant** 

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

TAKE NOTICE THAT the Applicant ("Duduzile Cynthia Myeni") intends applying to the above Honourable Court on a date and time to be determined by the Registrar for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Appeal against the order of Lordship Judge R G Tolmay, handed down on 2 December 2019 under case number 15996/2017.

TAKE NOTICE FURTHER that the grounds upon which leave to appeal is sought are that the Court erred in the following respects:

- The learned Judge misdirected herself in finding that the allegations made by Applicant against her former attorneys amounted to an imputed waiver of priviledge.
- 2. The facts in the authority of S v Boesman as relied upon by the learned Judge are distinguishable shable from the facts in this case on a number of grounds, most particularly, the ground that the Applicant's former attorneys do concede that certain instructions were absent and that the plea, as filed at the time, did in fact contain bare denials.
- The learned Judge has not in her judgment provided reasons as to why the bare denials should not be amended.
- 4. It is submitted that another court will decide differently on which amendments are permissible in the circumstance and will not refuse all the requested amendments as a whole.

- 5. The learned Judge misdirected herself in finding that the Applicant failed to give a reasonable explanation for the withdrawal of admissions.
- 6. It is submitted that another court will find that the learned Judge erred in her application of the *audi alteram* rule where the Applicant denied what has been stated in a plea.

Dated at JOHANNESBURG on this the 24th day of JANUARY 2020.

LUGISANI MANTSHA INCORPORATED

Applicant's Attorneys No. 410 Jan Smuts Avenue

Burnside Island

Block 6, First Floor

Craighall, Johannesburg

P O Box 1127

Randburg, 2125

Tel: (011) 781 0099 Fax: (011) 781 0526

Ref: Mr D Mantsha

info@lugisanimantshaattorneys.co.za

C/O LUCKY THEKISHO ATTORNEYS

Burlington House, 1st Floor

235 Helen Joseph (Church) Street

Pretoria, 0002 Tel: 012 771 2072

Fax: 086 667 4601

TO: THE REGISTRAR OF THE ABOVE

## HONOURABLE COURT, PRETORIA

AND TO: PANDOR ATTORNEYS

First and Second Respondents' Attorneys

15 Peter Place Bryanston

Tel: 082 551 3784

Email: rashaad@pandorlaw.co.za

C/O LEE ATTORNEYS

51 Elandslaagte Street

Hazelwood

Tel: 082 451 2142

Email: <a href="mailto:lenelllee@msn.com">lenelllee@msn.com</a>

Ref: L Lee

Service by email

AND TO: DYASON INCORPORATED

Third and Fourth Respondents' Attorneys

134 Mucklenuek Street

Nieuw Muckleneuk

Pretoria

Ref: TP WOOD/NN/MAT85612

Tel: (012) 452 3500 Fax: (012) 452 3554

Email: wood@dyason.co.za

AND TO: THE MINISTER OF FINANCE

Fifth Respondent 40 Church Street

Pretoria

٠,